1	TEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) nited States Attorney	
2 3	THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5	ANDREW F. DAWSON (CABN 264421) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7019	
6		
7 8	FAX: (415) 436-7234 andrew.dawson@usdoj.gov	
9	Attorneys for United States of America	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR 22-00171 EMC [FILED APRIL 27, 2022]
14	Plaintiff,) [PILED AI KIL 27, 2022]
15	v.	
16	DAVID MATA,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR 20-00249 RS [FILED JUNE 22, 2020]
20	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF RELATED CASE IN A CRIMINAL
21	v.	ACTION
22	ROWLAND MARCUS ANDRADE,	
23	Defendant.	
24		
25	The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court	
26	that the two above-captioned criminal cases are related. Defendant Andrade was indicted in June 2020	
27	on charges related to an alleged fraud scheme related to the development and promotion of a	
28	cryptocurrency called AML Bitcoin. Those charges remain pending. Defendant Mata was charged via	
	NOTICE OF RELATED CASES U.S. v. MATA, ANDRADE	

Information in April 2022 pursuant to a separate alleged fraud scheme related to the management of a cryptocurrency investment fund, Block Bits Fund. However, defendant Mata was also aware of and involved in the AML Bitcoin scheme with defendant Andrade. Thus, the cases against defendants Andrade and Mata involve similar and overlapping sets of facts. The government anticipates that Defendant Mata is a potential witness in any trial against Andrade. Accordingly, the government believes that the case against defendant Mata should be related and heard by the same judge who is overseeing the case against defendant Andrade, U.S. District Court Judge Richard Seeborg. Based upon these facts, the cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule 8-1(b)(1) because they involve the same events and occurrences, in addition to overlapping parties. Furthermore, the cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule 8-1(b)(2) because, if heard by separate judges, the actions likely would involve substantial duplication of labor by the two judges.

Per the requirement of Local Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that assignment

Per the requirement of Local Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that assignment of these cases to a single judge is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient determination of each action.

DATED: May 5, 2022

__

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHANIE M. HINDS United States Attorney

/s/

ANDREW DAWSON Assistant United States Attorneys